



---

## WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

---

**Ronald Sklansky**  
*Clearinghouse Director*

**Richard Sweet**  
*Clearinghouse Assistant Director*

**Terry C. Anderson**  
*Legislative Council Director*

**Laura D. Rose**  
*Legislative Council Deputy Director*

### CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 03-005

#### Comments

**[NOTE: All citations to “Manual” in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Revisor of Statutes Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated October 2002.]**

#### **5. Clarity, Grammar, Punctuation and Use of Plain Language**

a. In the analysis, it would be clearer if the requirements for each examination were cast in similar terms. “. . . currently prohibits the applicant from being admitted to the practical examination prior to the completion of . . .” could be changed to “. . . currently permits an applicant to be admitted to the practical examination only after the completion of . . .” Further, the analysis should have a concluding sentence explaining the amendment; something to the effect of: “This amendment makes the requirements for the practical examination the same as the requirements for the NAPLEX and the multi-state pharmacy jurisprudence examination.”

b. In s. Phar 2.03 (4), the rule would read more clearly if “. . . and being within 360 credit . . .” were changed to “. . . and being either within 360 credit . . .”